

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|-----------------|------------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/735,042 | 12/11/2003 | Pietro Padovani | B-4501DIV 621212-6 | 2506 | |
| 36716 | 7590 08/18/2005 | | EXAMINER | | |
| LADAS & | PARRY HIRE BOULEVARD, SUI | RIDLEY, F | RIDLEY, RICHARD | | |
| | LES, CA 90036-5679 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| | • | 3651 | | | |

DATE MAILED: 08/18/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | T N | | | | | | |
|---|--|---|--|---|--|--------------|--|--|
| | | • | Application | n No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
| * | | * | 10/735,042 | 2 | PADOVANI, PIETRO | | | |
| Office Action Summary | | | Examiner | | Art Unit | | | |
| | | | Richard Ric | | 3651 | | | |
| The Period for Rep | MAILING DATE of this commu ly | nication appe | ears on the | cover sheet with the c | orrespondence ad | dress | | |
| THE MAILIN - Extensions of after SIX (6) N - If the period for If NO period for Failure to repl Any reply received. | NED STATUTORY PERIOD F NG DATE OF THIS COMMUN time may be available under the provision MONTHS from the mailing date of this com or reply specified above is less than thirty (or reply is specified above, the maximum s y within the set or extended period for repleived by the Office later than three months term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136 munication. 30) days, a reply v statutory period wil y will, by statute, c | 6(a). In no even within the statut Il apply and will cause the applic | t, however, may a reply be tim ory minimum of thirty (30) days expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ation to become ABANDONE | nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co D (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Respo | onsive to communication(s) fil | ed on 16 Jur | ne 2005. | | | | | |
| / 1 | | 2b) This a | | n-final. | | | | |
| 3)☐ Since | | | | | | | | |
| closed | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | | |
| Disposition of | Claims _. | | | | | | | |
| 4a) Of 5) | Claim(s) 4-15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 4-15 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) is/are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | | |
| Application Pa | pers | | | | | | | |
| 10)⊠ The di Applic Replac | pecification is objected to by the rawing(s) filed on 11 December ant may not request that any objectment drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected the control of the con | e <u>r 2003</u> is/are ection to the di g the correctio | e: a) aco rawing(s) be on is require | held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is obj | e 37 CFR 1.85(a). lected to. See 37 CF | FR 1.121(d). | | |
| Priority under | 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. | | | | | | | | |
| 2) Notice of Dra 3) Information D | erences Cited (PTO-892) ftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 o Mail Date | | | 4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: | ite | D-152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/735,042

Art Unit: 3651

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 4-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 4 recites the limitation "at least one of said handling stations," in line 11. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. Previously in the claim, only one handling station is recited.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,042 Page 3

Art Unit: 3651

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) and the Intellectual Property and High Technology Technical Amendments Act of 2002 do not apply when the reference is a U.S. patent resulting directly or indirectly from an international application filed before November 29, 2000. Therefore, the prior art date of the reference is determined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

- 4. Claims 4-14, as best understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by FOCKE USP 6290448. FOCKE discloses a similar device comprising a(n):
- Receiving station (items are received into the system; fig. 2)
- > At least one handling or working station (39 or 41 or 43)
- > Stacking station (30; items are stacked; fig. 1)
- > Transfer means (19) for transferring items from the receiving station (31-33) to the stacking station (30)
- Wherein said handling means comprises a support structure (18 or 34) and a picking-up head

 (43)
- Said picking-up head comprising a support member (44) rotatably mounted around a vertical axis, multiplicity of spacers (unlabelled; just below support member 44; spaces the support member 44 from the holding means 45) carried by said support members (44) and extending downwards, and a holding means (45) carried by spacers (spaces the support member 44 from the holding means 45)

Application/Control Number: 10/735,042 Page 4

Art Unit: 3651

➤ Gear motor (fig. 6 & 7)

Robot (fig. 1)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claim 15 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Focke '448 in view of Padovani '208.

Focke discloses all of the claim limitations and discloses receiving products from somewhere in general. He does not disclose a thermoforming press with cut and form mould having a suction plate arranged to pick up mouldings of thermoformed products and discharge the products to said receiving station (31-33; fig. 1).

Padovani teaches the use of a thermoforming press with cut and form mould having a suction plate (C1/L64-70) arranged to pick up mouldings of thermoformed products and discharge the products to said receiving station for the purpose of providing for a means to produce thermoformed products and for the purpose of providing for a means to remove moulding and transfer them to a receiving station (C1/L65+).

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have employed the use of a thermoforming press with cut and form mould having a suction plate (C1/L64-70) arranged to pick up mouldings of thermoformed products and

Application/Control Number: 10/735,042 Page 5

Art Unit: 3651

discharge the products to said receiving station for the purpose of providing for a means make thermoformed products and for the purpose of providing for a means to remove moulding and transfer them to a receiving station.

Conclusion

- 7. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.
- 8. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Richard Ridley whose telephone number is (571) 272-6917. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 7:30 am - 4:00 pm.

Application/Control Number: 10/735,042

Art Unit: 3651

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gene Crawford can be reached on (571) 272-6911. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Page 6

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Richard Ridley Primary Examiner Art Unit 3651

Richard Ridley 15 Aug 2005